

IFIEC Energy Forum 2014

**European Industrial Renaissance
requires Competitive Energy**

... and a balanced climate policy

Axel Eggert, EUROFER

Steel made in Europe is ...



350 000

skilled jobs



1 500 000

supply chain jobs, dependent on steel made in Europe



500

production sites



170 000 000

tonnes of steel produced each year



170 000 000 000 €

turnover = **1.4 %** of the EU's GDP



100%

recyclable, endlessly, a permanent material



25%

reduction in CO₂ emissions from EU steelmaking since 1990



75%

of a wind tower



450 mio.

tonnes of CO₂ savings per year by 2030 due to innovative steel applications

Steel made in Europe is under threat today ...

 **20%**
jobs lost since 2007

 **20%**
down in production levels

 **200%**
industrial electricity prices in
the EU compared to the US

 **300%**
industrial gas prices in
the EU compared to the US

... on industrial competitiveness and the energy and climate framework 2030

- ✓ “Europe needs a strong and competitive industrial base as a key driver for economic growth and jobs” (§ 5)
- ✓ “Industrial competitiveness concerns should be systematically mainstreamed across all EU policy areas and be part of **impact assessments** in view of getting a stronger industrial base for our economy. This should go together with competitiveness proofing” (§ 6)
- ✓ “invites the Council and the Commission to **rapidly develop measures to prevent potential carbon leakage** in order to ensure the competitiveness of Europe's energy-intensive industries” (§ 18)
- ✓ sets out the “**principle**” for the new framework to “ensure security of energy supply for households and businesses at **affordable and competitive prices**” (§ 17) stressing that “a coherent European energy and climate policy must address the issue of high energy costs in particular for energy-intensive industries” (§ 14).
- ✓ the European Council taking stock of progress in June with a final decision on the new policy framework by October 2014 aiming to provide “the necessary **stability and predictability for its economic operators**” (§ § 16, 18).

Regulatory costs for steel compared to EBITDA per tonne of steel, 2002-2011:

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
EBITDA t/steel	€48	€71	€99	€77	€142	€110	€92	- €25	€38	€43
EU regulatory costs	28.1%	18.9%	13.4%	17.3%	9.4%	12.2%	14.5%	-53.9%	35.0%	30.9%

Source: Centre for European Policy Studies, *Assessment of Cumulative Cost Impact for the Steel Industry*, 2013, p. 55-58

EBITDA: earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization

- ▶ Already today huge impact on profit margins
- ▶ At an Ø EBITDA of €69,5 (2002-2011) per tonne of steel a CO₂ price of €30 or €40 could wipe out all profit margins if there are no safeguard measures for direct and indirect costs.
- ▶ **A CO₂ price of €40 = up to €80 additional costs** per tonne of steel (BF/BOF route)

Impact EU ETS 2021-2030 on EU steel industry

Scenario	CO ₂ price EUR/t	CO ₂ costs in billion EUR		
		Direct	Indirect	Total
A ➤ Current EU ETS (linear factor 1.74%, CSCF, no carbon leakage provisions post 2020)	30	70.0	8.6	78.6
	40	89.3	11.5	100.8
B ➤ Carbon leakage provisions post 2020 ➤ But CSCF continues ➤ 2.2% linear factor	30	31.0	8.6	39.6
	40	41.4	11.5	52.9
C ➤ 100% free allowances on benchmark level and real production (+0.8% p.a.) ➤ full off-setting of indirect costs ➤ 10% efficiency implementation by 2030 according to Steel Roadmap	30	12.8	0	12.8
	40	17.0	0	17.0

•With the Market Stability Reserve the Commission expects a price of €40 t/CO₂ in 2030, modelling presented by Point Carbon expects ca. €48/tCO₂ (source: www.ceps.eu/taskforce/review-eu-ets-issues).

•Accumulated shortage of allowances for direct emissions in 2030: (A) 2.23 bn t; (B) 1.03 bn t; (C) 0.43 bn t. Shortage for indirect emissions: 32 Mio t CO₂/year. Assumptions: a) Electricity consumption EAF = 550 kWh/t cs; BF/BOF = 150 kWh/t cs; Downstream processes = 136 kWh/t HRC; b) 10% electricity import for BF/BOF route; c) Electricity emission intensity in line with EU State Aid Guidelines; d) yield for hot rolling = 98%. (BF/BOF = Blast Furnace/Blast Oxygen Furnace, cs = crude steel, EAF = Electric Arc Furnace, HRC = Hot Rolled Coil).

What is needed ...

... to achieve these objectives for industrial competitiveness under the energy and climate framework 2030?

- 1. 100% free allocation at the level of the 10% most efficient installations** for sectors at risk of carbon leakage, based on realistic benchmarks, real production, no correction factor;
- 2. full off-setting of CO₂ cost pass-through in electricity prices in all member states** for sectors at risk of carbon leakage;
- 3. no piecemeal approach** - discuss proposals for structural measures for the EU ETS only jointly with legislative proposals to prevent carbon, investment and production leakage;
- 4. no additional burdens for EU ETS sectors**, such as energy efficiency measures. Enhanced energy efficiency is part of our business optimisation;
- 5. clear EU objective to reduce the gap in industrial energy prices** between the EU and its main competitors with clear policy measures;
- 6. realistic impact assessments** on sectoral level, e.g. on the proposals for the EU ETS revision (43% target, Market Stability Reserve).

... adopted with 505 against 95 votes

- “step up efforts to **decrease the energy price and cost gap** between the EU industry and its main competitors”
- “The 2030 climate and energy policy **targets must be technically and economically feasible** for EU industries.”
- “**Best performers should have no direct or indirect additional costs** resulting from climate policies.”
- “The **provisions for carbon leakage should provide 100% free allocation of technically achievable benchmarks**, with **no reduction factor** for carbon leakage sectors.”
- “Encourages the Commission to develop strategies for the deployment of low-carbon energies in a cost-effective way and gradually phasing out subsidies, so as to foster the rapid integration of such forms of energy into the electricity market. In the meantime, **offsetting the costs of the overall electricity surcharges for energy-intensive industries** should be possible if these are costs which competitors outside the EU do not have to bear.”

**Ambitious, realistic climate objectives
and industrial competitiveness
are compatible !**

**An agreement on the EU ETS review between the government, NGOs and
industry is possible in the Netherlands (Ecofys model) !**

Why shouldn't this be possible on EU level ?